nature communications

Article

Structural basis for a conserved neutralization epitope on the receptor-binding domain of SARS-CoV-2

Received: 17 June 2022

Accepted: 10 January 2023

Published online: 19 January 2023

Check for updates

Kuan-Ying A. Huang ^{(1,2,3,12} , Xiaorui Chen ^{(2,12}, Arpita Mohapatra ⁽²⁾, Hong Thuy Vy Nguyen ^{(2,4,5}, Lisa Schimanski⁶, Tiong Kit Tan ⁽⁰⁾⁶, Pramila Rijal⁶, Susan K. Vester ⁽⁰⁾⁷, Rory A. Hills⁷, Mark Howarth ⁽⁰⁾^{7,11}, Jennifer R. Keeffe ⁽⁰⁾⁸, Alexander A. Cohen⁸, Leesa M. Kakutani⁸, Yi-Min Wu⁹, Md Shahed-Al-Mahmud², Yu-Chi Chou¹⁰, Pamela J. Bjorkman ⁽⁰⁾⁸, Alain R. Townsend ⁽⁰⁾⁶ & Che Ma ⁽⁰⁾² .

Antibody-mediated immunity plays a crucial role in protection against SARS-CoV-2 infection. We isolated a panel of neutralizing anti-receptor-binding domain (RBD) antibodies elicited upon natural infection and vaccination and showed that they recognize an immunogenic patch on the internal surface of the core RBD, which faces inwards and is hidden in the "down" state. These antibodies broadly neutralize wild type (Wuhan-Hu-1) SARS-CoV-2, Beta and Delta variants and some are effective against other sarbecoviruses. We observed a continuum of partially overlapping antibody epitopes from lower to upper part of the inner face of the RBD and some antibodies extend towards the receptor-binding motif. The majority of antibodies are substantially compromised by three mutational hotspots (S371L/F, S373P and S375F) in the lower part of the Omicron BA.1, BA.2 and BA.4/5 RBD. By contrast, antibody IY-2A induces a partial unfolding of this variable region and interacts with a conserved conformational epitope to tolerate all antigenic variations and neutralize diverse sarbecoviruses as well. This finding establishes that antibody recognition is not limited to the normal surface structures on the RBD. In conclusion, the delineation of functionally and structurally conserved RBD epitopes highlights potential vaccine and therapeutic candidates for COVID-19.

Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) continues to spread and cause outbreaks worldwide. Antibodymediated immunity established via natural infection or vaccination reduces the risk of disease or lessens the clinical severity of the infection^{1,2}. Neutralizing antibody levels and spike-binding antibody levels serve as correlates of protection against SARS-CoV-2 in humans^{1,2}. Although neutralizing antibodies are elicited against other parts of the spike protein, the receptor-binding

¹Graduate Institute of Immunology and Department of Pediatrics, National Taiwan University Hospital, College of Medicine, National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan. ²Genomics Research Center, Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan. ³College of Medicine, Chang Gung University, Taoyuan, Taiwan. ⁴Chemical Biology and Molecular Biophysics program, Taiwan International Graduate Program, Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan. ⁵Institute of Biochemical Sciences, National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan. ⁶MRC Human Immunology Unit, Weatherall Institute of Molecular Medicine, University of Oxford, John Radcliffe Hospital, Oxford, UK. ⁷Department of Biochemistry, University of Oxford, Oxford OX1 3QU, UK. ⁸Division of Biology and Biological Engineering, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, USA. ⁹Institute of Biological Chemistry, Academia Sinica, Taipei 11529, Taiwan. ¹⁰Biomedical Translation Research Center, Academia Sinica, Taipei 11529, Taiwan. ¹¹Present address: Department of Pharmacology, University of Cambridge, Tennis Court Road, Cambridge CB2 1PD, UK. ¹²These authors contributed equally: Kuan-Ying A. Huang, Xiaorui Chen. Server Center: arthurhuang1726@ntu.edu.tw; cma@gate.sinica.edu.tw domain (RBD) is the dominant target of the neutralizing antibody $response^{3-7}$.

The antigenic characterization of the RBD by human neutralizing antibodies revealed four major antigenic sites, two of which (class 1 and class 2) are located at the top of RBD and overlap with the ACE2-binding site (or RBM, the receptor-binding motif), while the other two are on the external (class 3) and internal (class 4) surfaces of the core RBD and extend beyond the ACE2-binding region^{6,8,9}. The internal and external surfaces are defined as inwardfacing (hidden) and outward-facing (exposed) areas of the RBD in the "down" conformation, respectively. Class 3 neutralizing antibodies have been effectively used alone^{10,11} or in therapeutic combinations with class 1 or class 2 neutralizing antibodies^{8,12,13}. Class 4 anti-RBD antibodies mainly recognize a patch of the molecular surface conserved between SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV. Class 4 antibody neutralization may be mediated either by destabilization of the spike structure or hindrance of ACE2 access^{6,7,9,14}.

We previously isolated and characterized a typical class 4 neutralizing monoclonal antibody (mAb) EY-6A that binds to a highly conserved epitope composed mainly of the 384–389 helix of the RBD core^{6,14,15}. We now describe a panel of antibodies that were identified as class 4 by exhibiting complete or partial competition for RBD binding with EY-6A^{6,14} or similar antibodies. Structural analysis of their binding footprints and comparison with examples in the literature help to reveal antigenic regions consisting of multiple overlapping epitopes, one of which is newly defined by our antibody IY-2A. These antibodies tend to bind to highly conserved epitopes and were broadly effective against SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern.

Results

Specificity of class 4 anti-RBD antibodies

We isolated eight class 4 anti-RBD antibodies from SARS-CoV-2 convalescent patients or SARS-CoV-2 vaccinated individuals (Table 1). Antibodies were encoded with distinct heavy chain and light chain variable domain rearrangement and harbored an average of 4 ± 1 (mean \pm standard error of the mean) somatic amino acid substitutions in the heavy chain variable domain (Table 1 and Table S1).

All of the eight antibodies bound to RBD of SARS-CoV-2 spike and cross-reacted with Beta and Delta variant RBDs (Table 1, Fig. 1a). EY-6A^{6,14}, IV-6D, and IY-2A retained their binding activity with Omicron BA.1 RBD, but the others lost activity (Fig. 1a, Fig. S1). EY-6A^{6,14} and IV-6D failed to or had greatly reduced activity with BA.2 and BA.5 RBDs, whereas IY-2A binds to all Omicron RBDs in the ELISA (Fig. 1a). Control antibodies FD-11A (class 3) and FI-3A (class 1)⁶ bound strongly to Delta RBD, but both failed to bind all Omicron RBDs (Fig. 1a). Six out of eight antibodies cross-reacted with SARS-CoV spike, but none of the antibodies was reactive to MERS and only one was weakly reactive to OC43 betacoronavirus (Table 1).

There is -60–90% amino acid identity in the RBD among SARS-CoV-2 and other sarbecoviruses, including SARS-CoV and batderived SARS-like viruses^{16–18}. We tested the binding of all 8 antibodies against a panel of sarbecovirus RBD from different clades (clade 1; SARS-CoV, WIV1 and SHCO14, clade 2; Rf1, RmYNO2, Yun11 and Rs4081, clade 1/2; pang17, SARS-CoV-2, and RaTG13, and clade 3; BM48-31 and BtKY72). EY-6A^{6,14} and IY-2A showed binding against the RBD of all sarbecoviruses tested. IV-6D bound to all RBDs except for Yun11 (Fig. 1b). IV-4B, IS-9A, and IS-11B cross-reacted with a limited set of sarbecoviruses, with weak to no binding against clade 2 RBD (Rf1, RmYNO2, Yun11) and some clade 1/2 RBD binding (pang17) (Fig. 1b). IV-10C and FP-12A only showed binding to SARS-CoV-2 RBD (Fig. 1b).

The footprint recognized by EY-6A is located at the internal surface of the core RBD^{14,19}. EY-6A and another well-characterized antibody CR3022 are designated as class 4 antibodies^{5,14,20}. Patterns of cross-competition between these broadly reactive antibodies indicated they recognize a continuum of partially overlapping epitopes of the RBD. In this assay one antibody was labelled with biotin, and binding was measured in conditions of molar excess of the unlabeled competitor. EY-6A^{6,14} and IV-6D competed with each other for binding to RBD and exhibited partial or no interference with the interaction between ACE2 and RBD (Fig. 1c, d). FP-12A showed a one-way pattern of competition with EY-6A but blocked the interaction between ACE2 and RBD (Fig. 1c, d). FIV-10C competed with each other, while IS-9A, IS-11B, and IY-2A

Table 1 Antigenic specificity	and cross-reactivity	of class 4 anti-SARS-CoV-2	2 RBD neutralizin	g human antibodies
---------------------------------	----------------------	----------------------------	-------------------	--------------------

mAb ^a	Antigen exposure	Gene u	isage	S	SARS-CoV-2 ^b		SARS	MERS	OC43	ACE2-blockade°
				S	RBD	NTD	S	S	S	
EY-6A ^{6, 14}	Infection	V _h 3-30	V _K 1-39	1.75	1.81	0.17	1.67	0.16	0.26	+
FP-12A	Infection	V _h 3-30	V _λ 6-57	1.76	1.79	0.15	0.12	0.13	0.12	+++
IV-6D	Vaccination	V _h 3-9	V _K 3-15	1.77	1.84	0.11	1.83	0.42	0.77	Neg
IV-4B	Vaccination	V _h 3-9	V ₁ 1-44	1.84	1.80	0.12	1.24	0.17	0.22	++
IV-10C	Vaccination	V _h 4-39	V _λ 6-57	1.82	1.74	0.17	0.25	0.13	0.14	++
IS-9A	Vaccination	V _h 5-10-1	V _K 1-33	1.78	1.88	0.16	1.83	0.14	0.15	+++
IS-11B	Vaccination	V _h 5-10-1	V _K 1-33	1.76	1.75	0.11	1.67	0.15	0.17	+++
IY-2A	Vaccination	V _h 4-34	V _λ 6-57	1.80	1.87	0.15	1.78	0.16	0.25	+++
Control										
BS-1A		V _h 3-64	V _A 2-11	0.13	0.12	0.14	0.12	0.15	0.16	Neg
FD-11A ⁶	Infection	V _h 3-33	V _λ 1-40	1.79	1.77	0.21	0.14	0.13	0.15	++
FI-3A ⁶	Infection	V _h 3-53	V _K 1-33	1.80	1.78	0.17	0.14	0.13	0.16	+++
Plasma	Infection			1.69	1.74	1.77	1.05	0.92	1.29	

^aEY-6A and FP-12A were isolated from two COVID-19 adult patients (Wuhan-Hu-1 infection) in the convalescent phase. IV-6D, IV-4B, IV-10C, IS-9A, and IS-11B were isolated from an adult at day 8 after the second dose of mRNA-1273 COVID-19 vaccine. IY-2A was isolated from an adult at day 7 after the second dose of mRNA-1273 COVID-19 vaccine.

^bA sample (1 µg/ml) was considered positive when the measured extinction is at least 3 times the OD value of the negative control in the ELISA with SARS-CoV-2, SARS, MERS, and OC43 proteins. BS-1A (1 µg/ml) is an anti-influenza H3 human mAb. FD-11A (1 µg/ml) and FI-3A (1 µg/ml) are class 3 and class 1 anti-SARS-CoV-2 RBD human mAbs. A COVID-19 convalescent plasma is also included as a control.

°Inhibition activity, +++ ≥75%, ++ 51-74%, + 25-50%, Neg, <25%.

mAb monoclonal antibody, Vh variable region heavy chain, VK variable region kappa chain, VA variable region lambda chain, S spike, RBD receptor-binding domain, NTD N-terminal domain, Neg negative.



competed with each other (Fig. 1C, D). IY-2A prevented the binding of IS-9A and IS-11B to RBD, but IS-9A and IS-11B showed slightly less competition with IY-2A. None of these class 4 antibodies competed with the biotinylated FI-3A (class 1)⁶, C121 (class 2)²¹ or FJ-10B (class 3)⁶ binding to the RBD (Fig. 1d).

Neutralization by class 4 anti-RBD antibodies

All of the class 4 antibodies were assessed for neutralization potency using a SARS-CoV-2 pseudotyped virus assay. The antibodies showed varied levels of neutralizing potency against wild-type (Wuhan-Hu-1) SARS-CoV-2 virus, with IV-4B, IS-9A, IS-11B, and IY-2A being most **Fig. 1** | **Specificity and epitope grouping of class 4 antibodies. a** Binding of antibody with RBD of wild type, Beta, Delta, Omicron BA.1, BA.2 and BA.5 variants measured by ELISA. Anti-RBD mAb FD-11 A (class 3) and mAb FI-3A (class 1) were included as controls. Anti-influenza H3 mAb BS-1A was included as a control. OD450, optical density at 450 nm. Each antibody was run with two technical replicates (n = 2) for each RBD antigen. **b** Heat-map showing binding of each antibody (50 nM) to the indicated sarbecovirus RBD, measured by ELISA as OD450 value. Anti-MERS RBD antibody LCA60 was included as a control. **c** The ability of

potent with half-maximal inhibitory concentrations (IC_{50} values) less than 0.1 µg/ml (Fig. 2a). The majority of antibodies exhibited comparable activities against Delta and Beta variants. The superior potencies of IV-4B, IS-9A, IS-11B, and IY-2A against wild type and variants are consistent with their binding and ACE2-blocking activities (Fig. 1a, c, Fig. S1). The majority of class 4 antibodies lost or had greatly reduced neutralization against the Omicron variant. Only IY-2A retained neutralization against Omicron BA.1, BA.2, and BA.4/5, with IC_{50} values of 0.23, 0.27 and 0.17 µg/ml (Fig. 2a), in agreement with its binding activity with Omicron RBDs (Fig. 1a, Fig. S1).

We then tested neutralization potencies of representative antibodies using a pseudovirus-based assay of SARS-CoV and sarbecoviruses known to infect human ACE2-expressing target cells (SHC014 and WIV1) as previously described¹⁷ and a mutant BtKY72-CoV2 that is able to bind to human ACE2²². We found that IY-2A potently neutralizes all four sarbecoviruses including SARS-CoV (IC_{50s} ranging from 7 to 569 ng/ml), similar to the neutralization potency of a previously described human donorderived antibody¹⁷, C118 (IC₅₀ ranging from 5 to 1,130 ng/ml) (Fig. 2b). IS-9A and EY-6A^{6,14} neutralized BtKY72, SHC014, and WIV1 but failed to neutralize SARS-CoV, whereas IV-4B only neutralized BtKY72 (Fig. 2b). The control class 3 antibody S309¹⁰ neutralized SARS-CoV, BtYK72 and WIV1 but failed to neutralize SHC014 as previously described¹⁷.

Class 4 RBD epitope-specific serological response

To examine the level of antibodies competing with representative class 4 antibodies in Wuhan strain infection- and vaccine-elicited sera, serum blockade of RBD binding experiments were performed. The results showed that a relatively small percentage of convalescent and post-ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 (AZD1222)²³ sera demonstrated competitive activity with EY-6A binding, compared to that of post-mRNA-1273 (Moderna COVID-19 vaccine)²⁴ sera (Fig. S2a). Similar results were found in the convalescent and post-ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 (AZD1222) sera which competed with IS-9A and IY-2A for RBD binding. Two post-mRNA-1273 sera (2 of 8, 25%) did not compete with IS-9A and marginally competed with IY-2A for RBD binding (Fig. S2b, c). These results suggested that the mRNA-1273 vaccine would likely elicit more class 4 anti-RBD antibodies than the ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 (AZD1222) vaccine.

Structural features and footprints of class 4 antibodies

Crystal structures of the RBD-Fab complexes were determined for FP-12A, IS-9A and IY-2A for characterizing their footprints on RBD (Table S2). An RBD-Fab complex structure has been solved for EY-6A¹⁴ and was included for comparison. Although most of the class 4 antibodies bind to an overlapping area on the internal surface of the core RBD, they showed variable approach angles (Fig. S2a). Mapping of these footprints on RBD revealed key structural features that are associated with their behaviors in the competition and binding assays (Fig. 1). For EY-6A^{6,14}, the footprint is more focused on the C-terminal half of the long linker between β 1 and β 3 of RBD (residues 378–386), like that of CR3022²⁵, S304²⁶ and 10-28²⁷ (Fig. 3a, Fig. S3b). For FP-12A, the footprint is focused on the N-terminal half of the linker (residues 369-377), like that of 3D11²⁸ and S2A4²⁶ (Fig. 3b, Fig. S3b). IS-9A and similar antibodies such as C11817, H01429, S2X3526, S2X25916, DH104730, and BD55-1239³¹ exhibited more diversified binding modes (Fig. S3a), and their footprints cover the lower part (residues 373-378), the middle part (residue 408), and the upper part (residues 502-504) of the antibody to inhibit binding of the RBD to MDCK-ACE2. Anti-influenza H3 mAb BS-1A was included as a control. Each antibody was run with two technical replicates (n = 2) in the experiment. **d** Cross-competition for RBD binding by class 4 antibodies and ACE2. Anti-RBD mAb FI-3A (class 1), mAb C121 (class 2), FJ-10B (class 3), and anti-influenza stem mAb Z3B2 were included as controls. Each antibody was run with four technical replicates (n = 4) in the experiment and values are presented as mean. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.

internal RBD surface (Fig. 3c, Fig. S3b). The footprints of $C022^{17}$ and similar antibodies such as $10-40^{27}$ and $COVA1-16^{32}$ cover the left part of the RBD (residues 412–415 and 427–429) (Fig. 3d, Fig. S3b).

Finally, a unique footprint is observed for IY-2A, which extends further to the right bottom part of the RBD (residues 364–368, Fig. 3e), a region that is buried in the apo RBD but becomes exposed upon interaction with IY-2A (Fig. 3e). The residues 364–375 region of RBD in this complex exhibits an unwound $\alpha 2$ helix and a newly formed lefthanded 3₁₀-helix at residues 369–372, creating a novel interface on RBD (Fig. 3f, g). Binding to this interface underlies the excellent and broad neutralizing activity that IY-2A exhibited against SARS-CoV-2 variants and other sarbecoviruses (Fig. 2), which outcompetes all the other class 4 antibodies described here.

A linear peptide (residues 369-386) that spans across the lower internal part of RBD is involved in the footprint of all class 4 antibodies (Fig. S3b). This region contains a short β -strand (β 2) in the middle, overlapping with two short α -helices (α 2 and α 3) on each side (Fig. S3b). Two additional regions recognized mainly by IS-9A include the previously identified highly conserved residue R408 in the α 4 helix¹⁷ (Fig. 3c, Fig. S3b), which has since mutated to serine (R408S) in the Omicron BA.2 and BA.4/5 variants, and the 502-504 region, which overlaps with the RBM (Fig. S3c) in the immediate vicinity of mutational hotspots N501Y (Alpha, Beta, Omicron, etc.) and Y505H (Omicron). From surface accessibility and antigenic variation analyses³³, it can be deduced that IS-9A and similar antibodies exhibit increased neutralization potencies because these additional recognition sites (R408 and residues 502-504) are more exposed (Fig. S3d), but their neutralization breath can be compromised by emerging variants with mutations at or around these sites (Fig. S3c, e). It should also be noted that three mutational hotspots (S371L/F, S373P, and S375F, Fig. S3e) are in the C-terminal half of the lower region (residues 369-377), which could have a larger influence on the activity of FP-12A, IS-9A and similar antibodies than the EY-6A-like antibodies.

Structural basis for the functional difference between class 4 antibodies

Structural details of the RBD-mAb interface may aid molecular understanding of their functional differences in neutralization potency and breadth. For example, EY-6A^{6,14} and S3O4¹⁰ share an almost identical binding mode with ~91% sequence identity (Fig. S4a), but EY-6A has a stronger hydrophobic interaction at residue W100 (Kabat numbering) of heavy chain complementarity determining region 3 (HCDR3) and residue L95 of light chain complementarity determining region 3 (LCDR3), as well as a strengthened polar contact at residues 52A/53 of HCDR2 (Fig. S4b, c), all possibly contributing to a lower IC₅₀ value against SARS-CoV-2 wild type (EY-6A, 0.56 µg/ml; S304, > 2 µg/ ml)^{6,10,14}. On the other hand, antibody FP-12A is a weaker neutralizer compared to 3D11²⁸, although their binding modes almost overlap when superimposed (Fig. S4d). Their detailed structural differences include the Tyr-rich HCDR3 of FP-12A which slightly pushes away the α 2 helix, a weaker engagement with the 372–375 region, as well as other residue differences in LCDR1 and 3 (Fig. S4e, Fig. 4a).

Antibody IS-9A and its closest partner, IS-11B, share 94.8% sequence identity in the CDRs (Table S1) and exhibit a slightly higher activity against wild type but lower activity against Beta and Delta variants (Fig. 2a). This difference could be attributed to the



I ≤ 0.1 μg/ml > 0.1-1.0 μg/ml > 1.0-75.0 μg/ml > 75.0 μg/ml

Fig. 2 | Neutralization breath of class 4 antibodies. a Neutralization potency of each antibody against SARS-CoV-2 pseudotyped virus (wild type, Beta, Delta, and Omicron BA.1, BA.2 and BA.4/5 variants). Gray curves are anti-influenza H3 mAb BS-1A as control. Data are mean of technical duplicates (n = 2), and curves are fit by nonlinear regression for half-maximal inhibitory concentrations (IC50 values), as summarized in the table below. Each box of the table is colored accordingly: the

higher the potency, the darker the color. b Neutralization potencies of class 4 antibodies using a pseudovirus-based assay of SARS-CoV and sarbecoviruses. C118 (class 4) and S309 (class 3) are anti-RBD mAbs and are included as controls. The IC₅₀ values are summarized for each antibody, with each box colored accordingly. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.

substitutions of HCDR1 N31 for S and HCDR3 L99 for Y in IS-11B that modulate the interaction with different antigen variants (Fig. 4b). In IS-9A, the HCDR2 forms a β -turn (sequence D₅₂PSD₅₄, Kabat numbering) to present two acidic side chains that contact two positively charged RBD residues, K378 and R408 (Fig. 4b, Fig. S5a). R408 is also involved in hydrogen bonds with T30 and N31 from HCDR1 and a cation- π interaction with Y98 from HCDR3 of IS-9A (Fig. 4b, Fig. S5a). This extensive interaction network may be part of the structural basis for IS-9A's high potency (IC₅₀ $0.03 \,\mu$ g/ml), compared to other similar antibodies, while the second potent antibody, S2X259 (IC_{50} 0.14 µg/ml)¹⁶, employs a 13-residue-long LCDR3 loop (IMGT definition³⁴) that closely interacts with RBD's 501-504 region (Fig. S5b-d).

The IY-2A-RBD structure reveals a novel binding mode for a class 4 antibody (Fig. 4c). IY-2A's hydrophobic HCDR3 (sequence G97IFGV100A, Kabat numbering) intrudes deep into the hydrophobic core of RBD, surrounded by seven aromatic residues, two leucines and one isoleucine, including F338, F342, Y365, Y369, F374, F377, F515, L387, L513, and I434 (Fig. 4c). This blade-like HCDR3 is strengthened by the hydroxyl group of Y33 from HCDR1, which forms hydrogen bonds with the backbone nitrogen of F99 and G100 on HCDR3 and the carbonyl oxygen of RBD residue Y365 (Fig. 4c). Such a structure partially refolds the 364–376 region (α 2 helix and α 2- β 2 linker) of RBD, shifts Y369 by up to 7.8 Å (compared to the ACE2-bound RBD, Fig. S6a) and brings S366 into contact with N52 on HCDR2 (Fig. 4c). The observed conformational



Fig. 3 | **Structural footprints of class 4 antibodies on the RBD and an induced fit of RBD by IY-2A.** Footprints of each class 4 antibody on the internal surface of RBD are shown. The footprint includes all the residues that are directly involved in hydrogen-bond (2.5-3.5 Å), salt-bridge (<4 Å), or hydrophobic (3.3-4.0 Å) interaction in the structure. a EY-6A^{6.14} and similar antibodies share the binding site around the residues 378-386 region. PDB code 6ZER for EY-6A, 6W41 for CR3022, 7R6X for S304 and 7SI2 for 10–28. b FP-12A and similar antibodies share the binding site around the residues 369-377 region, with some reaching residue 408. PDB code 7M7B for 3D11 and 7JVA for S2A4. c IS-9A and similar antibodies sto2-504 region. PDB code 7CAH for H014, 7R6W for S2X35, 7M7W for S2X259, 7LD1 for DH1047, 7WRL

change is consistent for all four copies in the crystallographic asymmetric unit (Fig. S6a), and the electron density at this region is unambiguous (Fig. S6b). Importantly, this region is known to be structurally conserved and stable among various RBD structures (Fig. S6c, Fig. 3e), but in the recently emerged Omicron BA.1 and BA.2 variants, the region exhibits higher flexibility, yet maintaining an overall similar conformation (Fig. S6d). At least two residues of RBD (Y365 and L368) are brought from the buried to the exposed state (Fig. S6e, Fig. 3f, g), while residues that are found in the interface with other antibodies, such as Y369 and N370 (Fig. 4a, b), become less accessible when IY-2A is bound (Fig. S6e). In addition, the LCDRs of IY-2A interact mostly via hydrogen bonds (e.g., Y32 of LCDR1 and D51 of LCDR2), and unlike other class 4 antibodies, its LCDR3 only has a minimal contact (Fig. 4c).

An opposite direction of view from the RBD side towards the antibody provides a clear structural explanation for the ability of Omicron variants to evade antibody responses (Fig. 2a). While the footprint of EY-6A^{6,14} does not overlap with any of the three hotspot residues (S371, S373, and S375) (Fig. 4d, Fig. S7a), the close

for BD55-1239 and 7RKS for C118. **d** C022 and similar antibodies extend their footprints towards the left side, including residues 412–415 and 427–429. PDB code 7RKU for C022, 7SD5 for 10–40 and 7JMW for COVA1-16. **e** IY-2A recognizes a region (the 365-369 helix, black arrow), which is originally buried but is now exposed after a conformational change (enlarged view, the backbone shift highlighted in red). Structures (PDB code 6ZER, 7M7W, 7R6X, 7R6W, 6W41, light gray) are used for superimposition. **f** Superimposition of the ACE2-bound wild-type RBD (PDB 6M0J, white). The ACE2-bound Omicron BA.2 RBD (PDB 7ZF7, gray) with IY-2A-bound RBD (red) reveals a rotated view of the conformational change, highlighting residues Y365, L368, and Y369. **g** Detailed structure of the shifted 364–373 region in IY-2A-bound RBD, with all affected residues drawn in sticks and labeled.

engagement of FP-12A (HCDR3 and LCDR3) with S371 (Fig. 4a, e), and of IS-9A (HCDR3) with S375 (Fig. 4b, f), explains their lack of neutralizing activity against Omicron variants (Fig. 2a). The IY-2A footprint also overlaps with these residues (Fig. S7a), but the conformational change induced by IY-2A flips the side-chains of S371, S373, and S375 out of the binding site (Fig. 3e, Fig. 4c, g). Other mutations in Omicron BA.2 and BA.4/5, such as T376A, D405N, and R408S, are not involved in the IY-2A-RBD interface, except that T376 is somewhat close to S30 of LCDR1 (-4 Å) without forming a hydrogen bond (Fig. S6f).

The binding mode of IY-2A is consistent with the finding that it tolerates all the changes in SARS-CoV-2 variants and other sarbecoviruses (Fig. 2). Another antibody that binds widely across sarbecoviruses, EY-6A^{6,14}, has reduced RBD binding and neutralization of SARS-CoV (Fig. 1b, Fig. 2b), likely because the S373F substitution alters the local structure and moves the backbone carbonyl groups away from K57 of EY-6A^{6,14} (Fig. S7a, b). On the other hand, the substitution of A372 to T in all sarbecoviruses other than SARS-CoV-2 may have a substantial effect on the FP-12A/RBD interaction (Fig. 1b, Fig. S7a), as



Fig. 4 | Detailed structural interface between class 4 antibodies and RBD. a The interface between FP-12A (heavy chain, orange; light chain, yellow) and two key binding regions on RBD (gray, upper, 365–370 α 2 and 384-388 α 3 helices; lower, 376–380 β 2 strand). Heavy and light chain CDRs (HCDR and LCDR) and key interacting residues are labeled. Hydrogen bonds are shown in green dash lines, and water molecules in red spheres. **b** The same views of interface as in (**a**) between IS-9A (heavy chain, dark teal; light chain, light teal) and RBD (gray). **c** The same views

RBD residue A372 is tightly packed between the HCDR2 and LCDR3 of FP-12 and there is no space for the side chain of a threonine (Fig. S7c). Similarly, a serine residue at the G404 site of RBD would make an unfavorable hydrophilic contact with L99 of IS-9A (Fig. S7d), thus explaining why IS-9A binds to the RBD of SARS/WIV1/SHC014/RaTG13 but not Rs4081 (Fig. 1b).

Binding mode of class 4 antibodies to the spike trimer

The conserved neutralization epitope recognized by class 4 antibodies is known to have low surface accessibility on the intact spike trimer (Fig. S3d), which raises the question as to how these antibodies recognize authentic virus. Our cryo-EM structures of the spike-Fab complexes revealed a three-RBD-up conformation for the complex with all these class 4 antibodies (Fig. 5a–d, Table S3), because only in the open state could their epitopes be accessed. The local footprint differences now result in visibly distinct conformations of the complex (Fig. 5a–d), but none of these antibodies exhibit the possibility of simultaneous binding of both Fabs of an IgG to a single trimer (intra-spike avidity) because of the long distance between the C-termini of adjacent bound Fabs¹⁷ (Fig. 5e). The Fabsspike interaction further opens the trimer (Fig. 5f) and tilts the RBD in

of interface as in (a) between IY-2A (heavy chain, dark red; light chain, light red) and RBD (gray). **d**–**g** The binding mode of class 4 antibodies (surface) with the epitope region including α 2 helix, β 2 strand and α 3 helix of RBD (ribbon, in light gray) for EY-6A^{6,14} (**d** in dark and light blue), FP-12A (**e** in orange and yellow), IS-9A (**f** in dark and light teal) and IY-2A (**g** in dark and light red). Residues of Variants of Concern (S371, S373, and S375) are shown in sticks and labeled. These panels (**d**–**g**) are in exactly the same view.

different degrees compared to the apo structures (Fig. 5g) or the ACE2-bound 3-RBD-up spike, in which the inter-RBD distance is around 36-39 Å.

Generally, the more buried the target region is, the harder for an antibody to approach, but at the same time, the more conserved the interacting residues are (Fig. S3d, e). This is the case for antibody EY- $6A^{6,14}$, which reaches the most conserved 377-386 region and remains broadly interacting (Fig. 1a, b), while antibody IS-9A binds to a more easily accessible area and exhibits a much higher potency but loses activity against Omicron BA.1, BA.2, and BA.4/5 variants (Fig. 1a, Fig. 2a). A more balanced example is shown as antibody IY-2A, which creates a conformational epitope, tolerates all antigenic variations and compensates for the energy cost of its induced fit with extensive hydrophobic interactions (Fig. 4c). The cryo-EM of IY-2A-Spike complex also shows a similar conformation of the 364-375 region with the unwound α 2 helix to accommodate the binding of IY-2A (Fig. S8).

Discussion

There is a need for developing broadly reactive SARS-CoV-2 vaccines and broadly reactive therapeutic mAbs against SARS-CoV-2 variants



Fig. 5 | **Cryo-EM structures of class-4-mAb-bound Spike protein. a–d** Surface representation of cryo-EM structures of the Spike-Fab complex for EY-6A^{6,14} (**a**), heavy and light chains in dark and light blue, PDB code 6ZDH), FP-12A (**b**), in orange and yellow), IS-9A (**c**), in dark and light teal) and IY-2A (**d**), in dark and light red). Spike is shown in gray. All bound RBDs are in the "up" conformation. The constant region of Fab is modelled based on EM density at low-threshold rendering. **e** The potential for intra-spike avidity effects (simultaneous binding of both Fabs of a single IgG to adjacent RBDs on a Spike trimer) was evaluated by measuring the distance between the C-terminal (C224) of each bound Fab. Distances are shown in

black dashed lines. **f** Inter-RBD distances measured between D428 of RBD for each complex structure. **g** The conformation of RBD of the class-4-mAb-bound Spike compared to that of the apo 2-RBD-up Spike of Delta variant (PDB code 7V7U and 7V7T as representative). Only one subunit of Spike is shown in licorice, with S2 subunit being superimposed. NTDs are omitted for clarity. Both RBD and SD1/2 show visible shifts to further widen the inter-subunit space. Each structure is colored accordingly as in (**a**–**d**). The other two subunits are from IS-9A-bound Spike shown in transparent surface.

and animal coronaviruses that have the potential to cause a pandemic. In this study, we identified a cryptic and conserved epitope capable of eliciting broad anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibodies, represented by antibody IY-2A, which has not been reported previously. IY-2A binds not only to diverse SARS-CoV-2 variants but also throughout the sarbecovirus family. Although IY-2A-like antibodies are rare in polyclonal sera (Fig. S2), the high potency and breadth of such antibodies suggest that broad SARS-CoV-2 vaccines could seek to direct responses to this epitope and induce similar broadly neutralizing antibodies. The broad cross-reactive anti-RBD response has been reported from a mosaic RBD nanoparticle vaccine presenting multimerized antigens³⁵. Recent clinical trials have also shown a heterologous boost of SARS-CoV-2 vaccine substantially enhances the breadth and potency of anti-RBD antibody response³⁶⁻³⁸, which is associated with improved protection against emerging variants^{38,39}. Although a majority of class 4 antibodies did not overcome mutations in the Omicron RBD, the discovery of IY-2A-like antibodies highlights the potential of a potent and crossreactive anti-RBD antibody response.

The majority of class 4 antibodies reported here, including IY-2A, were derived from peripheral B cell clones elicited upon two doses of SARS-CoV-2 mRNA vaccine (Table 1), which was based on the ancestral Wuhan strain. It has been reported that the neutralizing activity of mRNA vaccine-elicited antibodies was more targeted to the RBD compared to antibodies elicited by natural infection⁴⁰. Moreover, mRNA vaccine-elicited anti-RBD antibodies may recognize more widely distributed epitopes in comparison to infection-elicited antibodies^{40,41}. The development of class 4 antibody repertoire indicates that both natural infection and mRNA vaccination elicit antibodies that evolve increased neutralizing activity and breadth. Another study found that broadly neutralizing class 4 antibodies were enriched in SARS convalescents after COVID-19 vaccination³¹. Although the majority of such antibodies are sensitive to D405N and R408S mutations in BA.2 and BA.4/5 variants, a rare antibody BD55-5514 retains neutralization against recently emerged Omicron variants³¹.

Sequence analysis reveals common genetic features shared by anti-RBD human antibodies elicited after vaccination and infection⁴². A preference for V λ 6-57 light chain gene usage combined with diverse Vh gene usage was observed in a cluster of anti-RBD antibodies^{26,42}. FP-12A, IV-10C, and IY-2A share the gene usage of V λ 6-57 germline. The

conserved $S_{30}NY_{32}$ motif (Kabat numbering) in CDR1 and $E_{50}D_{51}$ motif in CDR2 participate in an extensive hydrogen-bond network with the 376–380 strand and 384–388 helix of RBD (Table S1, Fig. 4). IV-10C was encoded with the Vh4-39 gene which is frequently used in this antibody cluster;⁴² by contrast, each of FP-12A and IY-2A was encoded with its unique heavy chain gene segment and lacks the WLRG motif in HCDR3. EY-6A and IS-9A have distinct genetic usages in the heavy and light chain variable regions and there is no convergence of CDR sequences readily identified in the public anti-RBD antibody responses⁴².

Structural studies of these class 4 antibodies may provide insights about how a single amino acid change, even to a similar-sized side chain, could lead to a major reduction of neutralization potency (Fig. S7). Moreover, the unexpected binding mode of IY-2A has revealed that an epitope of RBD could be created by a conformational change, and in this way the conventional epitope prediction based on the pre-defined structure would be rendered incomplete. However, the neutralization mechanism of our class 4 anti-RBD antibodies against SARS-CoV-2 remains elusive. There are several possibilities. Firstly, we have structurally characterized EY-6A binding in detail to spike by cryo-EM analyses and EY-6A binding would destabilize the native Spike conformation, catalyzing conversion to the post-fusion form^{6,14}. EY-6A converts even the stabilized spike to a partially unfolded state, where Fab is visible, still attached to the RBD^{6,14}. These findings suggest that neutralization may be mediated by the destruction of the pre-fusion spike^{14,43}. Secondly, antibody neutralization may also act by blocking the interaction of viral RBD and receptor ACE2^{29,32}. For example, IS-9A, IS-11B, and IY-2A efficiently prevented both the attachment of biotinylated RBD to ACE2-expressing MDCK cells and the binding of biotinylated ACE2 to recombinant RBD (Fig. 1c, d), which could be attributed to steric clashes with ACE2, as observed in superimposed structures (Fig. S9a-c). Similar ACE2-blocking activities were reported in class 4 antibodies^{17,27,29,31,32}. Thirdly, it was previously proposed that some class 4 antibodies do not directly compete with ACE2 but may enhance S1 shedding and thus premature spike conformational changes^{16,26}, which may offer an alternative mechanism of neutralization.

SARS-CoV-2 is constantly evolving and certain variants of concern have garnered widespread attention because of their rapid emergence within populations⁴⁴⁻⁴⁶. In particular, several variants escape antibody immunity and have been reported to be associated with a higher risk of reinfection in individuals previously immunized with a different variant^{19,47,48}. RBD plays a substantial role in SARS-CoV-2 antigenicity and the majority of serological neutralizing activity elicited after infection or vaccination is contributed to anti-RBD antibodies^{4,25}. Mutations of variants that occur on the RBD, e.g., E484, K417, L452, G446, affect binding and neutralization by polyclonal serum and therapeutic antibodies^{9,19,49,50}. Mutations of S371-S373-S375 in the recently emerged Omicron variants escape the majority of class 4 antibodies, as reported in this study. It is difficult to predict which mutations will rise next to prominence as SARS-CoV-2 continues to evolve, but it seems likely that they will include additional RBD mutations that affect recognition by infection- and vaccine-elicited antibodies. The highly conserved 405-408 region was originally retained when Omicron BA.1 first emerged but was quickly mutated (D405N and R408S) and stabilized in BA.2, BA.4, and BA.5 variants in the current worldwide circulation⁵¹. Therefore, continuous efforts focusing on those broad-based antibody responses, via B cell clonal breadth dissection and high-resolution mapping of antibody epitopes, would help to design an updated vaccine that is maximally crossreactive to variants and formulate immunization strategy that leads to optimal neutralizing breadth and potency in the near future.

Methods

Participants and ethical statement

We enrolled two COVID-19 adult patients (Wuhan-Hu-1 infection)(43 and 55 years old) in the convalescent phase and two adults (26 and

44 years old) after second dose of COVID-19 vaccine. Naturally occurring SARS-CoV-2 infection was diagnosed by positive real-time reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction results of respiratory samples according to the guidelines of the Taiwan Centers for Disease Control. The study protocol and informed consent were approved by the ethics committee at the Chang Gung Medical Foundation. Each patient provided signed informed consent. The study and all associated methods were carried out in accordance with the approved protocol, the Declaration of Helsinki and Good Clinical Practice guidelines.

Isolation of antibodies

SARS-CoV-2 convalescent patients and immunized healthy adults were enrolled and peripheral blood was collected. Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) were prepared from peripheral blood using Ficoll-Paque (Sigma-Aldrich, USA). Single B cells were isolated with or without using biotinylated RBD (Beta variant) into the 96-well PCR plate containing lysis buffer as previously described⁶. After performing reverse transcription to obtain cellular Ig cDNA, variable domainencoding genes for heavy, kappa and lambda chains were amplified and inserted into human IgG1 expression vectors. For antibody expression, heavy and light chain expression vectors were transiently transfected into ExpiCHO cells (Thermo Fisher Scientific, A29133) using the ExpiCHO expression system kit. Human IgG1 monoclonal antibody-containing supernatant was harvested and purified by rProtein A Sepharose (GE healthcare), with the resulting monoclonal antibodies collected for further analysis.

To determine the individual gene segments employed by VDJ and VJ rearrangements and the number of nucleotide mutations and amino acid replacements, the variable domain sequences were aligned with germline gene segments using the international ImMunoGeneTics (IMGT) alignment tool (http://www.imgt.org/IMGT_vquest/input)³⁴.

Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay

The binding of monoclonal antibody to SARS-CoV-2 RBDs, spike, and NTD was evaluated with ELISA. The 96-well microplate (Corning, USA) was coated with SARS-CoV-2 proteins in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) overnight at 4 °C. After washing, the plate was blocked with 3% (w/v) bovine serum albumin for 2 h at room temperature. After washing, the plate was incubated with monoclonal antibody preparation for 2 h at 37 °C. After washing, the plate was incubated with secondary antibody Rabbit anti-human IgG conjugated to horseradish peroxidase (1:5000 dilution in sterile PBS, Rockland, USA) for 1 h at 37 °C. After washing, the plate was developed by TMB substrate (ThermoFisher, USA) and the reaction was stopped by 1 M sulfuric acid. The absorbance was measured at 450 nm with an ELISA microplate reader. Data were analyzed by Microsoft Excel for Mac version 16.16.27 and graphs were presented by GraphPad Prism version 9.

ACE2-inhibition assay

The inhibitory activity of monoclonal antibody on the interaction of SARS-CoV-2 RBD and human ACE2 was evaluated using a flow cytometrybased binding assay (Fig. S10a). Serial dilutions of antibodies were mixed with biotinylated RBD and the mixture was incubated with MDCK-ACE2 cells for 1 h at 37 °C. PBS alone was included as the negative control. The mixture of biotinylated RBD with BS-1A (anti-influenza H3 antibody, starting at 100 µg/ml) was included as positive control. After washing, cells were incubated with ExtrAvidin-R-Phycoerythrin conjugate (Sigma-Aldrich, USA) for 30 min at 4 °C. After washing, the binding activities were analyzed by BD FACSCanto II flow cytometer (BD Biosciences, USA). The relative inhibition was calculated as (1- (binding percentage ^{antibody} binding percentage ^{PBS control}) / (binding percentage ^{biotinylated RBD} - binding percentage ^{PBS control}) × 100%. Data were analyzed by Microsoft Excel for Mac version 16.16.27 and graphs were presented by GraphPad Prism version 9.

RBD-based cross-inhibition assay

Competitive binding assays were performed with monoclonal antibodies as described previously⁶. Briefly, RBD-VLP were coated on 96well microplates overnight at 4 °C, washed and blocked with dried skimmed milk in PBS for 1 h at room temperature prior to the assays. Antibody was biotinvlated using EZ-Link Sulfo-NHS-LC-biotin (Life Technologies, USA) and then mixed with competing antibody (in at least 10-fold excess) and transferred to the blocked plates for 1 h. A second layer Streptavidin-HRP (Life Technologies, USA) was then added and incubated for another 1h. Plates were then washed, and signal was developed by adding POD substrate (Roche, USA) for 5 min before stopping the reaction with 1M sulfuric acid. Absorbance (OD450) was measured using a plate reader. The mean and 95% confidence interval of 4 replicate measurements were calculated. The competition was measured as: (X-minimum binding/(maximum binding-minimum binding), where X is the binding of the biotinylated antibody in the presence of a competing antibody. Minimum binding is the self-blocking of the biotinylated antibody or background binding. Maximum binding is the binding of a biotinylated antibody in the presence of non-competing antibody (anti-influenza N1 neuraminidase antibody).

RBD-based serum competition assay

MDCK-RBD cells were produced by stably transducing MDCK-SIAT1 cells with a Lentiviral vector encoding a cDNA expressing RBD (Wuhan-Hu-1) amino acids 340-538 fused via a short linker to the transmembrane domain of hemagglutinin H7 (A/Hong Kong/125/ 2017) (EPI977395) at the C-terminal for surface expression⁶. Antibody was biotinylated using EZ-Link Sulfo-NHS-LC-biotin (Life Technologies, USA). The biotinylated antibody of an optimized concentration was mixed with serum dilution and incubated with MDCK-RBD cells for 1 h at 37 °C. After washing, cells were incubated with ExtrAvidin-R-Phycoerythrin conjugate (Sigma-Aldrich, USA) for 30 min at 4 °C. After washing, the binding activities were analyzed by BD FACSCanto II flow cytometer (BD Biosciences, USA) (Fig. S10b). The relative inhibition was calculated as (1- (binding percentage serum - binding percentage PBS control) / (binding percentage biotinylated antibody - binding percentage PBS control)) * 100%. Data were analyzed by Microsoft Excel for Mac version 16.16.27 and graphs were presented by GraphPad Prism version 9.

Pseudotyped SARS-CoV-2 neutralization assay

For production and purification of SARS-CoV-2 pseudotyped lentivirus, the pseudotyped lentivirus carrying SARS-CoV-2 S protein was generated by transiently transfecting HEK-293T (ATCC CRL-3216) cells with pCMV-AR8.91, pLAS2w.Fluc.Ppuro and pcDNA3.1-nCoV-S (wild type or variants) using TransITR-LT1 transfection reagent (Mirus). The virus was harvested and clarified at 72 h post-transfection by centrifugation at 4000 g for 10 min and 0.45 µm filtering (Pall Corporation) before being aliquoted and stored at -80 °C. The virus titer (transduction units) was determined by AlamarBlue assay according to the manufacturer's instructions. A total of 1000 transduction units (TU) of SARS-CoV-2 (WT or variants) pseudotyped lentivirus in DMEM (supplemented with 1% FBS and 100 U/ml Penicillin/Streptomycin) were mixed for 1 h at 37 °C with purified and 0.22 µm filtered antibody in 4x serial dilutions from the initial concentration around 0.06 mg/ml (see Source Data file). The mixture was then inoculated with 10,000 HEK293T cells stably expressing human ACE2 in 96-well plates with medium refreshed at 16 h post-infection. Cells were cultured for another 48 h before measuring the relative light units (RLU) in the Bright-Glo Luciferase Assay System (Promega) by Tecan i-control (Infinite 500). The percentage of inhibition was calculated as the ratio of RLU reduction in the presence of each diluted sample to the RLU value of no-sample control and the calculation formula was (RLU control -RLU sample) / RLU control.

Sarbecovirus RBD expression and purification

Sarbecovirus RBD constructs p3BNC-RBD-His8-SpvTag003 have previously been described³⁵, corresponding to the RBD from SARS-CoV-2 (GenBank ON131086), SARS-CoV (GenBank ON131087), RaTG13-CoV (GenBank ON131088), SHC014-CoV (GenBank ON131089), Rs4081-CoV (GenBank ON131090), pangolin17 (pang17)-CoV (GenBank ON131091), RmYN02-CoV (GenBank ON131092), Rf1-CoV (GenBank ON131093), WIV1-CoV (GenBank ON131094), Yunnan2011 (Yun11)-CoV (GenBank ON131095), BM48-31-CoV (GenBank ON131096) or BtKY72-CoV (Gen-Bank ON131097). Sarbecovirus RBDs were expressed and purified. In brief, expression was carried out in Expi293F cells using the Expi-Fectamine 293 Transfection Kit (both Thermo Fisher) according to the manufacturer's instructions, and cell suspensions were harvested 5 days after transfection. Supernatants were supplemented with cOmplete Mini EDTA-free Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (Roche), clarified by centrifugation at 4,000 g and 4 °C for 5 min, then passed through a 0.45 µm syringe filter (Thermo Fisher). Purification was performed by SpySwitch¹⁵ (coupled to SulfoLink Coupling Resin) in batch format at 4 °C. Supernatants were supplemented with 10% (v/v) 500 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5 + 3 M NaCl, incubated with SpySwitch resin for 1 h, washed with 4× 10 column volumes (CV) 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5+300 mM NaCl. Proteins were eluted six times with 1.5 CV of 50 mM acetic acid pH 5.0 + 150 mM NaCl, incubating each fraction for 5 min. Each elution fraction was neutralized by collection in a microcentrifuge tube containing 0.3 CV 1M Tris-HCl pH 8.0. Protein concentrations were determined by bicinchoninic acid assay (BCA Protein Assay Kit, Thermo Fisher).

Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (sarbecovirus RBD)

The binding of mAb to various sarbecovirus RBDs was assessed using ELISA. Nunc MaxiSorp flat-bottom plates (Thermo Fisher) were coated with PBS containing 50 nM of specified RBD by incubating for 16 h at 4 °C. The plate was incubated for 2 h at 25 °C with Blocking Buffer: 5% (w/v) Skim Milk in PBS pH 7.4. The plates were washed three times with PBS pH 7.4 with 0.1% (v/v) Tween 20 (PBST). The plate was then incubated for 1 h with 50 nM of specified antibody diluted in Blocking Buffer and then washed three times with PBST. The plate was incubated at 25 °C for 1 h with 1/2500 dilution of goat anti-human IgG HRP antibody (Sigma-Aldrich A8667) in Blocking Buffer and then washed three times with PBST. The plate was finally incubated for 2 min with TMB substrate (Thermo Scientific), before the reaction was stopped with 1 M sulfuric acid. The absorbance was measured at 450 nm with a FLUOstar Omega microplate plate reader (BMG Labtech). The mean absorbance from triplicates is presented at a heat map. The results shown are representative of two separate experiments.

Pseudotyped sarbecovirus neutralization assay

SARS-CoV, WIV1, and SHC014 pseudoviruses based on HIV-1 lentiviral particles were prepared as described^{17,21,35,52} using genes encoding S protein sequences with cytoplasmic tail deletions: 21 amino acid deletions for WIV1 and SHC014, and a 19 amino acid deletion for SARS-CoV. Plasmids expressing the spike protein found in the bat (Rhinolophus sinicus) coronavirus bCoV-WIV16 as well as the Sunda pangolin (Manis javanica) coronaviruses from Guandong, China (pCoV-GD) and Guanxi, China (pCoV-GX) have been described previously and are based on ALK02457 (GenBank), Pangolin_CoV_EPI_ISL_410721 (Gisaid) and Pangolin_CoV_EPI_ISL_410542 (Gisaid)53. For neutralization data presented in Fig. 2b, four-fold dilutions of purified IgGs (starting concentrations of $75 \,\mu g/ml$) were incubated with a pseudotyped virus for 1 h at 37 °C. The pseudotyped virus and IgG mixture was added to 293TACE2 target cells and incubated at 37 °C for 48 h. Cells were lysed with Luciferase Cell Culture Lysis 5x reagent (Promega) and luciferase activity in lysates was measured using the Nano-Glo Luciferase Assay System (Promega). Relative luminescence units (RLUs) were normalized to values derived from cells infected with pseudotyped virus in the absence of IgG. Half-maximal inhibitory concentrations (IC_{50} values) were determined using 4- or 5-parameter nonlinear regression in AntibodyDatabase⁵⁴.

Crystallization, data collection and structure determination

The RBD domain (333-530) of SARS-CoV-2 WT Spike was constructed and cloned into the pTT vector using oligonucleotide primers (gtggggtaccacaaacctgtgcccatttg and gtggggatccgtggtggtgatggtgatggtgatgg gacttcttggggccgcac) for protein expression in HEK293 EBNA (ATCC CRL-10852) suspension cells by transient transfection using polyethyleneimine (PEI) followed by 37 °C incubation for 4 days. Culture supernatants were harvested and clarified by centrifugation at 6500 g for 20 min, followed by Ni-NTA affinity (GE Healthcare) purification and Superdex 200 Increase 10/300 GL (GE Healthcare) chromatography in a buffer containing 20 mM Tris/HCl, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl. Fab fragments were digested from IgG using the immobilized papain resin (Thermo Scientific) for 24 h at 37 °C and purified with rProtein A Sepharose (GE Healthcare). Purified RBD and Fab were mixed at 1:1.15 molar ratio for 30 min incubation on ice before further purification by Superdex 200 Increase 10/300 GL (GE healthcare) in 20 mM Tris/HCl, pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl. The complex peak was verified by 14% SDS-PAGE, pooled together and concentrated to higher than 12 mg/ml for hanging-drop vapor diffusion crystallization at 20 °C. For FP-12A, crystals appeared on day 2 in the condition containing 0.2 M Ammonium sulfate; 0.05 M Magnesium sulfate heptahydrate; 0.1 M Bicine pH 9.0; 20 % v/v PEG Smear Medium. For IS-9A, crystals appeared on day 2 in the condition containing 2% (v/v) 1,4-Dioxane, 0.1 M Tris pH 8.0 and 15% (w/v) Polyethylene glycol 3,350. For IY-2A, crystals appeared on day 6 in the condition containing 0.2 M Ammonium citrate tribasic pH 7.0, 0.1 M Imidazole pH 7.0, and 20% (w/v) Polyethylene glycol monomethyl ether. Crystals were harvested with diffraction datasets collected at National Synchrotron Radiation Research Center (NSRRC) BL15A1 or TPS05A beamline, at a temperature of 100 K with the wavelength 1.00 (Table S2). Data were processed in iMosflm $7.2.2^{55}$. Molecular replacement was performed by Phenix 1.19.2-4158 Phase-MR⁵⁶ using structures of RBD and Fab as separated searching ensembles. The solved structure was further refined in phenix.refine with manual adjustments done in WinCoot 0.8.957. Structural figures were prepared with UCSF-ChimeraX 1.358 and PyMOL 2.559.

Cryo-EM sample preparation, data collection, processing, and model building

SARS-CoV-2 (Delta or Omicron BA.1) Spike protein sequences (14-1209, S2P) were synthesized (service provided by Genomics, Taiwan) and cloned into the pTT vector by restriction digestion and ligation (KpnI and EcoRV) for expression in HEK293 EBNA (ATCC CRL-10852) suspension cells by transient transfection using polyethyleneimine (PEI) followed by 32 °C incubation for 6 days. Culture supernatants were harvested and clarified by centrifugation at 6,500 g for 20 min, followed by Ni-NTA affinity (GE Healthcare) purification and Superose 6 Increase 10/300 GL (GE Healthcare) gel filtration in a buffer containing 20 mM Tris/HCl, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl. Antibody expression and Fab purification were the same as mentioned above. The ratio of Spike and Fab for complex formation as well as the incubation time was evaluated by negative stain transmission electron microscopy. Freshly purified Spike (diluted to 1 mg/ml) was mixed with Fab (2 mg/ml) at 3:1 (v/v) ratio in 20 mM Tris pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl for 3 min at room temperature before being applied (3 µl) to a glow discharged Quantifoil R1.2/1.3 holey carbon grid mounted in a Mark IV Vitrobot (Thermo Fisher Scientific) at 4 °C with 100 % humidity. Grids were blotted at force 0 for 3 seconds. Data were collected on a Titan Krios G3 at 300 kV (Thermo Fisher Scientific), equipped with a Gatan K3 detector and the Gif Quantum energy filter with 20 eV slit width. Movies were acquired in EPU (Thermo Fisher Scientific, v2.10) at two exposures per hole. Total electron dose was 38 e⁻/Å² collected over 2.5 s and fractionated

Binding affinity determination using biolayer interferometry

The spike protein (SARS-CoV-2 Delta S2P or Omicron S6P) was conjugated with biotin using Biotinylation Kit (Sigma-Aldrich) according to manufacturer's instructions. Biotinylated spike was loaded at 50 μ g/ml in PBS onto streptavidin biosensors (Molecular Devices, ForteBio). Association and dissociation of IgG by biotinylated spike was performed in PBS throughout all the steps at indicated concentrations (Fig. S1) for 5 min and 10 min, respectively. The kinetic and equilibrium constants were not determined since there could be avidity effects in the experiment (bivalent IgG interacting with trimeric spike).

Reporting summary

Further information on research design is available in the Nature Portfolio Reporting Summary linked to this article.

Data availability

The data associated with this study are available within the article, its supplementary information and Source Data file. Source data are provided with this paper. The coordinates and structure factors of the SARS-CoV-2 RBD/FP-12A, RBD/IS-9A and RBD/IY-2A crystallographic complexes generated in this study have been deposited in the PDB (Protein Data Bank) under accession codes 8HHF, 8HHG and 8HHH, respectively. Cryo-EM volumes and structure models of the SARS-CoV-2 Delta Spike/FP-12A, Delta Spike/IS-9A and BA.1 Spike/IY-2A generated in this study have been deposited in the EMDB (Electron Microscopy Data Bank) under accession codes 8HHX, 8HHY and 8HHZ, respectively. Source data are provided with this paper.

References

- Khoury, D. S. et al. Neutralizing antibody levels are highly predictive of immune protection from symptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection. *Nat. Med.* 27, 1205–1211 (2021).
- 2. Earle, K. A. et al. Evidence for antibody as a protective correlate for COVID-19 vaccines. *Vaccine* **39**, 4423–4428 (2021).
- 3. Lan, J. et al. Structure of the SARS-CoV-2 spike receptor-binding domain bound to the ACE2 receptor. *Nature* **581**, 215–220 (2020).
- Liu, L. et al. Potent neutralizing antibodies against multiple epitopes on SARS-CoV-2 spike. *Nature* 584, 450–456 (2020).
- 5. Barnes, C. O. et al. SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibody structures inform therapeutic strategies. *Nature* **588**, 682–687 (2020).
- Huang, K. A. et al. Breadth and function of antibody response to acute SARS-CoV-2 infection in humans. *PLoS Pathog.* 17, e1009352 (2021).
- Hastie, K. M. et al. Defining variant-resistant epitopes targeted by SARS-CoV-2 antibodies: A global consortium study. Science 374, 472–478 (2021).
- 8. Hansen, J. et al. Studies in humanized mice and convalescent humans yield a SARS-CoV-2 antibody cocktail. *Science* **369**, 1010–1014 (2020).
- Greaney, A. J. et al. Complete mapping of mutations to the SARS-CoV-2 spike receptor-binding domain that escape antibody recognition. *Cell Host Microbe* 9, 44–57 (2021).
- Pinto, D. et al. Cross-neutralization of SARS-CoV-2 by a human monoclonal SARS-CoV antibody. *Nature* 583, 290–295 (2020).
- Gupta, A. et al. Early treatment for Covid-19 with SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibody sotrovimab. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 385, 1941–1950 (2021).

Article

- 12. Weinreich, D. M. et al. REGN-COV2, a neutralizing antibody cocktail, in outpatients with Covid-19. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **384**, 238–251 (2021).
- Dong, J. et al. Genetic and structural basis for SARS-CoV-2 variant neutralization by a two-antibody cocktail. *Nat. Microbiol.* 6, 1233–1244 (2021).
- Zhou, D. et al. Structural basis for the neutralization of SARS-CoV-2 by an antibody from a convalescent patient. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 27, 950–958 (2020).
- Vester, S. K. et al. SpySwitch enables pH- or heat-responsive capture and release for plug-and-display nanoassembly. *Nat. Commun.* 13, 3714 (2022).
- 16. Tortorici, M. A. et al. Broad sarbecovirus neutralization by a human monoclonal antibody. *Nature* **597**, 103–108 (2021).
- Jette, C. A. et al. Broad cross-reactivity across sarbecoviruses exhibited by a subset of COVID-19 donor-derived neutralizing antibodies. *Cell Rep.* **36**, 109760 (2021).
- Cohen, A. A., et al. Mosaic RBD nanoparticles protect against challenge by diverse sarbecoviruses in animal models. *Science* 377, eabq0839 (2022).
- Dejnirattisai, W. et al. SARS-CoV-2 Omicron-B.1.1.529 leads to widespread escape from neutralizing antibody responses. *Cell* 185, 467–484 (2022).
- He, W. T. et al. Targeted isolation of diverse human protective broadly neutralizing antibodies against SARS-like viruses. *Nat. Immunol.* 23, 960–970 (2022).
- 21. Robbiani, D. F. et al. Convergent antibody responses to SARS-CoV-2 in convalescent individuals. *Nature* **584**, 437–442 (2020).
- Starr, T. N. et al. ACE2 binding is an ancestral and evolvable trait of sarbecoviruses. *Nature* 603, 913–918 (2022).
- 23. Voysey, M. et al. Safety and efficacy of the ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 vaccine (AZD1222) against SARS-CoV-2: an interim analysis of four randomised controlled trials in Brazil, South Africa, and the UK. *Lancet* **397**, 99–111 (2021).
- 24. Baden, L. R. et al. Efficacy and safety of the mRNA-1273 SARS-CoV-2 vaccine. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **384**, 403–416 (2021).
- Yuan, M. et al. A highly conserved cryptic epitope in the receptor binding domains of SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV. Science 368, 630–633 (2020).
- 26. Piccoli, L. et al. Mapping neutralizing and immunodominant sites on the sars-cov-2 spike receptor-binding domain by structure-guided high-resolution serology. *Cell* **183**, 1024–1042 (2020).
- 27. Liu, L. et al. An antibody class with a common CDRH3 motif broadly neutralizes sarbecoviruses. *Sci. Transl. Med.* **14**, eabn6859 (2022).
- Asarnow, D. et al. Structural insight into SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibodies and modulation of syncytia. *Cell* 184, 3192–3204 (2021).
- Lv, Z. et al. Structural basis for neutralization of SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV by a potent therapeutic antibody. *Science* 369, 1505–1509 (2020).
- Martinez, D. R. et al. A broadly cross-reactive antibody neutralizes and protects against sarbecovirus challenge in mice. *Sci. Transl. Med.* 14, eabj7125 (2022).
- Cao, Y. et al. BA.2.12.1, BA.4 and BA.5 escape antibodies elicited by Omicron infection. *Nature* 608, 593–602 (2022).
- Liu, H. et al. Cross-neutralization of a SARS-CoV-2 antibody to a functionally conserved site is mediated by avidity. *Immunity* 53, 1272–1280.e5 (2020).
- Huang, H. Y. et al. Vaccination with SARS-CoV-2 spike protein lacking glycan shields elicits enhanced protective responses in animal models. *Sci. Transl. Med.* 14, eabm0899 (2022).
- Lefranc, M. P. et al. IMGT[®], the international ImMunoGeneTics information system[®] 25 years on. *Nucleic Acids Res* 43, D413–D422 (2015).
- Cohen, A. A. et al. Mosaic nanoparticles elicit cross-reactive immune responses to zoonotic coronaviruses in mice. *Science* 371, 735–741 (2021).

- Barros-Martins, J. et al. Immune responses against SARS-CoV-2 variants after heterologous and homologous ChAdOx1 nCoV-19/ BNT162b2 vaccination. *Nat. Med.* 27, 1525–1529 (2021).
- Kaku, C. I. et al. Broad anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibody immunity induced by heterologous ChAdOx1/mRNA-1273 vaccination. *Science* 375, 1041–1047 (2022).
- Normark, J. et al. Heterologous ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 and mRNA-1273 Vaccination. N. Engl. J. Med. 385, 1049–1051 (2021).
- Nordström, P. et al. Effectiveness of heterologous ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 and mRNA prime-boost vaccination against symptomatic Covid-19 infection in Sweden: a nationwide cohort study. *Lancet Reg. Health Eur.* **11**, 100249 (2021).
- 40. Greaney, A. J. et al. Antibodies elicited by mRNA-1273 vaccination bind more broadly to the receptor binding domain than do those from SARS-CoV-2 infection. *Sci. Transl. Med.* **13**, eabi9915 (2021).
- Muecksch, F. et al. Increased memory B cell potency and breadth after a SARS-CoV-2 mRNA boost. *Nature* 607, 128–134 (2022).
- 42. Wang, Y. et al. A large-scale systematic survey reveals recurring molecular features of public antibody responses to SARS-CoV-2. *Immunity* **55**, 1105–1117.e4 (2022).
- 43. Huo, J. et al. Neutralization of SARS-CoV-2 by Destruction of the Prefusion Spike. *Cell Host Microbe* **28**, 445–454.e6 (2020).
- 44. Tegally, H. et al. Detection of a SARS-CoV-2 variant of concern in South Africa. *Nature* **592**, 438–443 (2021).
- 45. Volz, E. et al. Assessing transmissibility of SARS-CoV-2 lineage B.1.1.7 in England. *Nature* **593**, 266–269 (2021).
- 46. Karim, S. S. A. et al. Omicron SARS-CoV-2 variant: a new chapter in the COVID-19 pandemic. *Lancet* **398**, 2126–2128 (2021).
- Kustin, T. et al. Evidence for increased breakthrough rates of SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern in BNT162b2-mRNA-vaccinated individuals. *Nat. Med.* 27, 1379–1384 (2021).
- Wibmer, C. K. et al. SARS-CoV-2 501Y.V2 escapes neutralization by South African COVID-19 donor plasma. *Nat. Med.* 27, 622–625 (2021).
- 49. Cameroni, E. et al. Broadly neutralizing antibodies overcome SARS-CoV-2 Omicron antigenic shift. *Nature* **602**, 664–670 (2022).
- VanBlargan, L. A. et al. An infectious SARS-CoV-2 B.1.1.529 Omicron virus escapes neutralization by therapeutic monoclonal antibodies. *Nat. Med.* 28, 490–495 (2022).
- 51. Hadfield, J. et al. Nextstrain: real-time tracking of pathogen evolution. *Bioinformatics* **34**, 4121–4123 (2018).
- 52. Crawford, K. H. D. et al. Protocol and reagents for pseudotyping lentiviral particles with sars-cov-2 spike protein for neutralization assays. *Viruses* **12**, 513 (2020).
- 53. Muecksch, F. et al. Affinity maturation of SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibodies confers potency, breadth, and resilience to viral escape mutations. *Immunity* **54**, 1853–1868 (2021).
- 54. West, A. P. Jr. et al. Computational analysis of anti-HIV-1 antibody neutralization panel data to identify potential functional epitope residues. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **110**, 10598–10603 (2013).
- Battye, T. G. et al. iMOSFLM: a new graphical interface for diffraction-image processing with MOSFLM. Acta Crystallogr. D. Biol. Crystallogr. 67, 271–281 (2011).
- Adams, P. D. et al. PHENIX: a comprehensive Python-based system for macromolecular structure solution. *Acta Crystallogr. D. Biol. Crystallogr.* 66, 213–221 (2010).
- 57. Emsley, P. et al. Features and development of Coot. Acta Crystallogr. D. Biol. Crystallogr. **66**, 486–501 (2010).
- Pettersen, E. F. et al. UCSF ChimeraX: structure visualization for researchers, educators, and developers. *Protein Sci.* 30, 70–82 (2021).
- 59. Schrödinger, L., & DeLano, W. PyMOL. Retrieved from http://www. pymol.org/pymol (2020)
- 60. Punjani, A. et al. cryoSPARC: algorithms for rapid unsupervised cryo-EM structure determination. *Nat. Methods* **14**, 290–296 (2017).

Article

61. Zivanov, J. et al. New tools for automated high-resolution cryo-EM structure determination in RELION-3. *Elife* **7**, e42166 (2018).

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge the BD FACSAria cell sorter service provided by the Core Instrument Center of Chang Gung University, Taiwan. We thank National RNAi Core Facility at Academia Sinica, Taiwan for pseudovirus neutralization assays. We thank the technical support provided by the National Synchrotron Radiation Research Center (NSRRC), Taiwan. We thank the Academia Sinica Biological Electron Microscopy Core Facility for negative stain EM and Academia Sinica Cryo-EM Facility (ASCEM) for cryo-EM support. We appreciate the advice from Dr. Shu-Chuan Jao for biolayer interferometry. The mutant BtKY72 was a kind gift from Alexandra Walls and David Veesler, University of Washington. This work was supported by the National Science and Technology Council of Taiwan (MOST 110-2628-B-182-009, MOST 110-2628-B-182-013, NTSC 111-2628-B-002-052) to K.-Y.A.H. The research was funded by Genomics Research Center, Summit Project (AS-SUMMIT-109) and the Translational Medical Research Program (AS-KPQ-109-BioMed), Academia Sinica, and Taiwan Bio-Development Foundation, to C.M. S.K.V. and M.H. were funded by the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council (BBSRC grant BB/T004983/1). P.J.B. was funded by Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation INV-034638, Caltech Merkin Institute, and George Mason University Fast Grant. R.A.H. was funded by the Rhodes Trust. M.H. also thanks the University of Oxford COVID-19 Research Response Fund and its donors (reference 0009517). The EM Facilities were funded by Academia Sinica Core Facility and Innovative Instrument Projects (AS-CFII-108-110 and AS-CFII-108-119) and Taiwan Protein Project (AS-KPQ-109-TPP2). This research was funded in whole or in part by BBSRC grant BB/ T004983/1. For the purpose of Open Access, the author has applied a CC BY public copyright licence to any Author Accepted Manuscript (AAM) version arising from this submission.

Author contributions

K.-Y.A.H. conceived and designed the study. K.-Y.A.H. isolated and characterized antibodies. L.S., T.K.T., P.R., and A.R.T. performed binding experiments and analyzed data. C.M. and X.C. supervised the structural study of antibodies. Y.C.C. performed SARS-CoV-2 variant neutralization experiments. S.K.V., R.A.H., and M.H. performed sarbecovirus RBD binding experiments and analyzed data. J.R.K., A.A.C., L.M.K., and P.J.B. performed sarbecovirus neutralization experiments and analyzed data. A.M. and H.T.V.N. determined crystal structures. A.M., X.C., Y.-M.W., and

M.S-A.-M. performed cryo-EM grid preparation, data processing and structure refinement. K.-Y.A.H. and X.C. analyzed data and prepared figures and tables. K.-Y.A.H. and X.C. wrote the first draft of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-35949-8.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Kuan-Ying A. Huang or Che Ma.

Peer review information *Nature Communications* thanks Linqi Zhang and the other, anonymous, reviewer(s) for their contribution to the peer review of this work.

Reprints and permissions information is available at http://www.nature.com/reprints

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2023

mAb	H-L	Vh	Jh	Dh	rf	V _h junction sequence	nt Mut	aa Sub	VI	Л	V ₁ Junction Sequence	nt Mut	aa Sub
EY-6A	H-K	3-30*03 or 18 or 3-30-5*01 F	4*02 F	2-21*01 F	1	CAKDGGKLWVYYFDYW	6	5	1-39*01 F or 1D-39*01 F	4*01 F	CQQSYSTLALTF	0	0
FP-12A	H -λ	3-30*03 or 18 or 3-30-5*01 F	6*02 F	3-10*01 F	1	CANGFGEYYYYAMDVW	1	1	6-57*02 F	3*02 F	CQSYDSSNWVF	3	2
IV-6D	H-K	3-9*01 F	4*02 F	5-18*01 F	1	CAKGHTYTAMLRMAFDYW	7	4	3-15*01 F	5*01 F	CQQYNNWPPSITF	0	0
IV-4B	H -λ	3-9*01 F	4*02 F	3-10*01 F	1	CAKGRLGELLFPVDYW	6	5	1-44*01 F	3*02 F	CAAWDDSLNGWVF	2	1
IV-10C	H -λ	4-39*07 F	4*02 F	3-10*01 F	1	CATLLWLRGYFDYW	6	6	6-57*01 F	3*02 F	CQSYDSSNWVF	0	0
IS-9A	H-K	5-10-1*03 F	4*02 F	3-22*01 F	2	CARGRNYLDSRGRFDYW	9	6	1-33 or 1D-33*01 F	3*01 F	CQQYDSLGFTF	2	2
IS-11B	H-K	5-10-1*03 F	4*02 F	3-22*01 F	2	CARGRSYYDSRGRFDYW	3	3	1-33*01 F or 1D-33*01 F	3*01 F	CQQYDSLGFTF	3	3
IY-2A	Η -λ	4-34*01 F	3*01 F	3-3*02 F	3	CARGLGIFGVVTLSDVW	9	4	6-57*02 F	3*02 F	CQSYDSGIWVF	3	2

Table S1. Anti-SARS-CoV-2 RBD monoclonal antibody heavy and light chain variable domain gene usage.

Abbreviations: H, heavy; K, kappa; λ , lambda; Vh, variable gene segment of the heavy chain variable domain; Dh, diversity gene segment of the heavy chain variable domain; Jh, joining gene

segment of the heavy chain variable domain; Mut, number of nucleotide mutations; Sub, number of amino acid substitutions; Vl, variable gene segment of the light chain variable domain; Jl,

joining gene segment of the light chain variable domain; rf, reading frame.

	RBD/FP-12A	RBD/IS-9A	RBD/IY-2A
Data collection			
Wavelength	0.9998	1.0000	1.0000
Space group	<i>P</i> 1	$P \ 1 \ 2_1 \ 1$	<i>C</i> 1 2 1
Cell dimensions			
<i>a</i> , <i>b</i> , <i>c</i> (Å)	58.83, 79.12, 92.54	85.28, 86.86, 98.09	193.02, 225.15, 95.52
α, β, γ (°)	76.26, 81.16, 71.13	90, 108.66, 90	90, 96.16, 90
Resolution (Å)	32.25 - 2.6 (2.693 - 2.6)	32.62 - 2.13 (2.206 - 2.13)	33.64 - 2.85 (2.952 - 2.85)
$R_{\rm sym}$ or $R_{\rm merge}$	0.080 (0.753)	0.043 (0.211)	0.080 (0.416)
Ι/σΙ	5.6 (1.4)	9.8 (3.6)	6.6 (1.8)
Completeness (%)	97.8 (97.1)	99.66 (97.63)	99.88 (99.99)
Redundancy	4.0 (4.0)	3.7 (3.0)	3.8 (3.8)
Refinement			
Resolution (Å)	28.22 - 2.49 (2.58 - 2.49)	28.22 - 2.49 (2.58 - 2.49)	33.64 - 2.85 (2.952 - 2.85)
No. reflections	45959	75685	94123
$R_{\rm work} / R_{\rm free}$	0.230 / 0.264	0.176 / 0.217	0.211/0.250
No. atoms	8315	10907	19091
Protein	8101	9717	19063
Ligand/ion	87	38	28
Water	127	1152	0
<i>B</i> -factors (Å ²)	72	27	64
Protein (RBD)	47	30	66
Protein (Fab)	88	26	64
Ligand/ion	96	67	79
Water	51	33	-
R.m.s. deviations			
Bond lengths (Å)	0.004	0.008	0.010
Bond angles (°)	0.66	0.99	1.18
Rotamer outliers (%)	3.02	2.40	4.44
Clash score	9.09	5.81	6.27
Ramachandran			
Favored (%)	91.48	97.09	94.24
Allowed (%)	8.03	2.91	5.68
Outliers (%)	0.48	0.00	0.08
Wilson B-factor (Å ²)	53	23	55

 Table S2. X-ray data collection and refinement statistics.

One crystal was used for each dataset in structural determination.

Table S3. Cryo-EM data	collection,	refinement and	l validation	statistics.

	Spike-FP-12A	Spike-IS-9A	Spike-IY-2A
	(Delta variant)	(Delta variant)	(BA.1 variant)
	EMDB 34806	EMDB 34807	EMDB 34808
	PDB 8HHX	PDB 8HHY	PDB 8HHZ
Data collection and processing			-
Magnification	105,000	105,000	81,000
Voltage (kV)	300	300	300
Electron exposure (e^{-/A^2})	54.06	54.06	54.06
Defocus range (µm)	-1.5 ~ -2.5	-1.3 ~ -2.2	-1.5 ~ -2.5
Pixel size (Å)	0.83	0.83	1.06
Symmetry imposed	C1	C1	C1
Initial particle images (no.)	1.133.890	1.542.976	1,405,490
Final particle images (no.)	120.515	296.356	67.276
Map resolution (Å)	3 62	2.77	4 28
FSC threshold	0.143	0.143	0.143
	01110	0.115	0.115
Refinement			
Initial model used (PDB code)	7CAK	7K43	7XOD
Model resolution (Å)	3.6	2.8	4.2
FSC threshold	0.143	0.143	0.143
Map sharpening B factor (Å ²)	-150	-112.7	-150
Model composition			
Non-hydrogen atoms	27451	27423	32301
Protein residues	3477	3483	4286
Ligands	36	32	0
<i>B</i> factors (Å ²)			
Protein	241	109	114
Ligand	269	106	-
R.m.s. deviations			
Bond lengths (Å)	0.003	0.004	0.003
Bond angles (°)	0.697	0.674	0.646
Validation			
MolProbity score	2.00	2.06	2.13
Clashscore	12.82	14.77	18.01
Poor rotamers (%)	0.10	0.00	0.00
Ramachandran plot			
Favored (%)	94.30	94.28	94.48
Allowed (%)	5.62	5.64	5.49
Disallowed (%)	0.00	0.09	0.02



Figure S1. Binding activities of class 4 antibodies with Delta and Omicron Spike. (a) The binding curve of EY-6A (blue), FP-12A (yellow), IS-9A (teal) and IY-2A (red) with Delta Spike. **(b)** The binding curve of EY-6A (blue), FP-12A (yellow), IS-9A (teal) and IY-2A (red) with Omicron BA.1 Spike. The x-axis shows reaction time and the y-axis the reading of bio-layer interferometry. FP-12A and IS-9A have completely lost affinity with Omicron BA.1 Spike. The kinetic and equilibrium constants were not determined since there could be avidity effects in the experiment (bivalent IgG interacting with trimeric spike).



Figure S2. Class 4 antibodies compete with serum for RBD binding. Competition binding results of (a) EY-6A, (b) IS-9A, and (c) IY-2A with convalescent and post-vaccination sera

using MDCK-RBD cells. All sera were diluted 1:10 for analysis and each sample was run with two technical replicates (n=2). The black line represents the mean. The cut-off was defined by mean plus two standard deviation of control sera (after trivalent influenza vaccine) collected in 2017 and represented by the red dotted line. Self-competition using purified mAb was included in the experiment. Anti-influenza H3 mAb BS-1A was included as the negative control. TIV; trivalent influenza vaccine. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.



Figure S3. The binding mode of class 4 antibodies and the structural properties of RBD. (a) Superimposition of the Fab from all known class 4 antibodies (ribbons, colored by subgroups and specified by labels) bound to RBD (surface, light gray). C022 and similar antibodies: purple; EY-6A and similar antibodies: blue; FP-12A and similar antibodies: yellow; IS-9A and similar antibodies: green; IY-2A: red. PDB code 7RKU for C022, 7SD5 for 10-40 and 7JMW for COVA1-16. PDB code 6ZER for EY-6A, 6W41 for CR3022, 7R6X for S304 and 7SI2 for 10-28. PDB code 7M7B for 3D11 and 7JVA for S2A4. PDB code 7CAH for H014, 7R6W for S2X35, 7M7W for S2X259, and 7WRL for BD55-1239. (b) Mapping the consensus epitope for each group of the class 4 antibodies, drawn as ribbon and sticks, labeled with residue range and colored accordingly as in (a). (c) Mapping of the receptor binding motif (RBM, cyan) on RBD (gray). (d) Mapping of the relative surface accessibility (shown as a brown heat map) on RBD. Relative surface accessibility (RSA) was calculated from the up conformation of RBD based on a previous report³³. (e) Mapping of the sequence variation (shown as a magenta heat map) on RBD. The darkest color highlights residues of all the known Variants of Concern (VOCs), and those that overlap with the class 4 antibody epitope are labeled on the right.



Figure S4. Structural comparison between mAbs EY-6A and S304 and between mAbs FP-12A and 3D11. (a) Superimposition of the overall structure of EY-6A-bound and S304bound RBD (PDB code 6ZER for EY-6A and 7R6X for S304). RBD is shown in gray surface. Both Fabs are shown in ribbons in the same color, with heavy chains colored in dark blue and light chains light blue. CDRs that are shown in more details in (b) are labeled. (b) Selected views of the detailed structural difference between EY-6A and S304 in HCDR3, LCDR3 and HCDR2 as labeled. Key interacting residues are drawn in sticks and labeled. Hydrogen bonds are drawn as gray dotted lines. (c) Sequence alignment of EY-6A and S304 with the indicated residues (as in B) highlighted in red boxes. (d) Superimposition of the overall structure of FP-12A-bound and 3D11-bound RBD (PDB code 7M7B for S304). RBD is shown in gray surface. Both Fabs are shown in ribbons in the same color, with heavy chains colored in orange and light chains yellow. CDRs that are shown in more details in (B) are labeled. (e) Selected views of the detailed structural difference between FP-12A and 3D11 in HCDR3, LCDR3 and LCDR1 as labeled. Key interacting residues are drawn in sticks and labeled. A small shift of the 365-371 helix induced by FP-12A HCDR3 is indicated by a green arrow.



Figure S5. Structural comparison between IS-9A and other similar mAbs. (a) The overall structure of IS-9A-bound RBD. RBD is shown in gray surface, and Fab is shown in ribbons with heavy and light chains colored in dark and light teal. CDRs that are shown in more details are labeled. Selected views of the detailed interaction with key residues of RBD (K378, R408 and the 502-504 region) are shown on the right panels for comparison. (b) The same structural presentation of the H014-bound RBD is shown as in (a). Heavy and light chains are colored in dark and light green. PDB code 7CAH. (c) The S2X35-bound RBD is shown as in (a). Heavy and light chains are colored in dark and light chains are colored in dark and light seagreen. PDB code 7M7W. Colors are consistent with Fig. 3c. The interface of C118 or C022 with RBD is not shown for comparison due to a significantly different binding mode.



Figure S6. Structural flexibility and surface accessibility of the 364-376 region of RBD in the IY-2A-bound structure. (a) Superimposition of four copies of the IY-2A-bound RBD (different pink colors) in one asymmetric unit shows a consistent conformation of this region. Y369 is highlighted as sticks, showing the displacement of the C α atom for 7.8Å from the ACE2-bound WT RBD (PDB 6M0J). (b) The electron density of the difference map surrounding the 364-373 region of RBD. σ level at 3.0. (c) Superimposition of ten WT RBD (PDB code: 6M0J, 6ZER, 7M7W, 7R6X, 7R6W, 6W41, 7RKU and 7JMW, the IS-9A-bound and the FP-12A-bound RBD structures, in light gray shades) shows a conserved conformation of the 364-376 region (α 2 helix and α 2- β 2 linker) in comparison with the IY-2A-bound RBD (pink), with Y369 highlighted in sticks. (d) Superimposition of the WT RBD (PDB 6M0J, gray), five Omicron RBDs (BA.1: PDB 7XAZ, 7XO6; BA.2: PDB 7XB0, 7ZF7, 7XOC, different purple shades) and the IY-2A-bound RBD (pink) shows structural

variation of this region. (e) A bar chart of the solvent accessible surface area (ASA) calculated for residues 364-373 of ten other RBD structures (the same as panel a left, in gray) compared to the IY-2A-bound RBD (four copies as panel b, in pink). Two residues (365 and 368) with more than 10-time increase of ASA are indicated with the fold change above the bar. Data are mean ± standard deviation with n=4 for IY-2A structure and n=10 for others. (f) The enlarged view of the IY-2A-bound RBD (gray) superimposed with the Omicron BA.2 RBD (PDB 7ZF7, dark purple) shows a relatively close distance between S30 of LCDR1 (pink) and T376 of RBD (4.1Å). Residues at 405 or 408 of RBD are not involved in any interaction.



Figure S7. Sequence alignments of RBD from various sarbecovirus strains and footprint mapping. (a) Sequences are aligned following the residue numbering of SARS-CoV-2 spike. Overlaying shades indicate the conservation of each residue: the darker, the more conserved. The RBM and featured epitope regions of class 4 antibodies are shown as bars above the sequence colored accordingly as Fig. S2B-C. Recognition residues of each antibody (EY-6A: blue; FP-12A: yellow; IS-9A: teal; IY-2A: red) are shown below the sequence as triangles, with the darker color by heavy chain and lighter color by light chain. (b) Modelling of S375F mutation (as in SARS-CoV) on RBD implicates a potential different packing with surrounding aromatic side chains, which may alter the position of S375 and its hydrogen bonding with K57 of EY-6A. The dotted line shows the hydrogen bond. (c) Modelling of A372T mutation (as in all other sarbercoviruses than SARS-CoV-2) shows an insufficient

space for the side chain of Thr when interacting with FP-12A. (**d**) Modelling of G404S mutation (as in Rs4081) reveals an unfavorable contact with the hydrophobic residue L99 of IS-9A, while the local structure may also change due to the closely packed residues nearby.



Figure S8. Comparison of electron density in crystal structure (left) and cryo-EM volume (right) in the region where the 364-375 loop of RBD undergoes conformational change. IY-2A heavy chain is colored in pink. The IY-2A-bound RBD in grey and ACE2bound RBD (PDB code 6M0J) in light green, with black arrows highlighting the out-ofdensity regions. For a clearer view of electron density in the crystal structure, please refer to Fig. S6b.



Figure S9. Steric clashes with ACE2 of the class 4 antibodies. The superimposition of Fab-RBD complexes with the ACE2-bound RBD shows clashes (black dashed circles) between FP-12A (yellow) **(a)**, IS-9A (green) **(b)** or IY-2A (pink) **(c)** with ACE2 (cyan), especially in two glycosylation sites, N322 and N546. The first GlcNAc residues and their attaching Asn are drawn in sticks.



Figure S10. Gating strategy for ACE2-inhibition assay and RBD-based serum competition assay. (a) The inhibitory activity of class 4 anti-RBD monoclonal antibody on the interaction of SARS-CoV-2 RBD and human ACE2 was evaluated using a flow cytometry-based binding assay. Serial dilutions of antibody were mixed with biotinylated RBD and the mixture was incubated with MDCK-ACE2 cells. PBS alone was included as negative control. The mixture of biotinylated RBD with BS-1A (anti-influenza H3 human

monoclonal antibody) was included with as positive control. Bio-RBD-bound cells were detected with PE-conjugated ExtrAvidin in the binding assay. MDCK-ACE2 cells were included using FSC/SSC gate and PE^{pos} (biotinylated RBD-bound) cells were gated and analyzed. (**b**) RBD-based serum competition assay. Biotinylated anti-RBD monoclonal antibody was mixed with serum dilution (1:10 in PBS) and incubated with MDCK-RBD cells. The mixture of biotinylated antibody with BS-1A (anti-influenza H3 human monoclonal antibody) was included with as positive control. PBS alone was included as negative control. Biotinylated mAb-bound cells were detected with PE-conjugated ExtrAvidin. MDCK-RBD cells were included using FSC/SSC gate and PE^{pos} (biotinylted mAb-bound) cells were gated and analyzed. Bio-RBD, biotinylated RBD. mAb, monoclonal antibody.



Figure S11. Cryo-EM data processing for FP-12A-, IS-9A- and IY-2A-bound Spike complex structures. The initial micrographs, the picked and selected particles of each processing step and the final FSC curves are shown for the FP-12A-bound Delta Spike (a), the IS-9A-bound Delta Spike (b) and the IY-2A-bound Omicron BA.1 Spike (c), as labeled accordingly. Raw image and representative 2D classes are shown on the left top corner of each panel. A dashed line (or blue horizontal line in panel b) crossing the FSC curve shows the resolution.